

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,501	09/09/2003	Albert James Yovichin	DN2003145	1802
27280 7	590 11/29/2006		EXAM	INER
THE GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY			KNABLE, GEOFFREY L	
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY DEPAI	RTMENT 823		
1144 EAST M.	ARKET STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, OH	44316-0001		1733	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
	10/658,501	YOVICHIN ET AL.	YOVICHIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Geoffrey L. Knable	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiling to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB.	CATION. sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this con ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 So	eptember 2006.					
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		ers, prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 and 12-16 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from considerat	ion.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)	∆ \ □	umman (PTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/658,501

Art Unit: 1733

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9-18-2006 has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's election of group IV, claims 9-11 in the reply filed on 1-20-2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 12-16 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 1-20-2006.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,501

Art Unit: 1733

Claim 10 has been amended to define a weight sensor connected to the drum supporting shaft and the drive means with "said drive means and said drum supporting shaft having interlocking teeth". From an examination of the original disclosure, however, it does not seem that the drive means and drum supporting shaft, which are connected to the weight sensor, are what have "interlocking teeth" and as such, this is considered to represent subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, i.e. it is considered to be new matter. In particular, in the original disclosure, the weight sensor is described as being in the form of "strain gauges 502 positioned between the drive dog 282 mounted on the shaft 120 and the shaft 120" (page 16, lines 11-13 of the specification). Consistent with this, it seems that the claimed "drive means" is the drive dog "282" and the shaft is shaft "120." These however do not have interlocking teeth. The interlocking teeth are between dog drives "282" and "283" - it however does not seem that such is consistent with the present claims.

6. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The scope of claim 10 is indefinite in that this claim refers to a computer controller "for adjusting the amount of material being applied to the building drum" whereas no means or device are defined for applying material to the drum - it therefore is not entirely clear whether the scope of this claim includes just a computer controller or

Application/Control Number: 10/658,501

Art Unit: 1733

also a computer controller with some means to apply material to the drum - clarification of this scope is required.

In the last line of claim 11 as amended, no antecedent has been established for "the drum outer *support* surface."

7. Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Payne et al. (US 4,272,309) or Leblond (US 3,790,425) or Becker et al. (US 5,591,288).

Each of Payne et al. (note esp. grooved surface of collapsible segmented drum "18" which can be rotatably mounted on a shaft (e.g. fig. 4)), Leblond (note esp. grooved surface of segmented collapsible drum "1" which is rotatably mounted on a shaft - see esp. col. 4, lines 57+) and Becker et al. (note esp. grooves 20 in surface of collapsible tire building drum) suggest a tire building drum that is considered to clearly meet each of the claimed requirements.

- 8. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the amendments to the claims.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Appleby et al. (US 4,437,915 - esp. fig. 2) and Luchsinger-Caballero (US 2,609,026) are other examples of forming tread belts with grooved inner surfaces but are no more relevant that the applied prior art.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/658,501

Art Unit: 1733

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Geoffrey L. Knable whose telephone number is 571-

272-1220. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Geoffrey L. Knable

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1733

G. Knable

November 25, 2006